

# BSG Working Paper Series

*Providing access to the latest  
policy-relevant research*



# Variation in government responses to COVID-19

BSG-WP-2020/032

Version 6.0

May 2020

**Thomas Hale**, Blavatnik School of Government,  
University of Oxford

**Noam Angrist**, Blavatnik School of Government,  
University of Oxford

**Beatriz Kira**, Blavatnik School of Government,  
University of Oxford

**Anna Petherick**, Blavatnik School of Government,  
University of Oxford

**Toby Phillips**, Blavatnik School of Government,  
University of Oxford

**Samuel Webster**

# Variation in government responses to COVID-19

Version 6.0

27 May 2020

**This working paper is updated frequently. Check for most recent version here:**

[www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/covidtracker](http://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/covidtracker)

**The most up-to-date version of technical documentation will always be found on the project's GitHub repo: [www.github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker](https://www.github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker)**

**Dr Thomas Hale**, Associate Professor, Blavatnik School of Government, University of Oxford

**Mr Noam Angrist**, Doctoral candidate, Blavatnik School of Government, University of Oxford

**Ms Beatriz Kira**, Senior researcher and policy officer, Blavatnik School of Government, University of Oxford

**Dr Anna Petherick**, Departmental Lecturer, Blavatnik School of Government, University of Oxford

**Mr Toby Phillips**, Blavatnik School of Government, University of Oxford

**Dr Samuel Webster**

**Abstract:** COVID-19 has prompted a wide range of responses from governments around the world. There is a pressing need for up-to-date policy information as these responses proliferate, and governments weigh decisions about the stringency of their policies against other concerns. We introduce the Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker (OxCGRT), providing a systematic way to track government responses to COVID-19 across countries and time. We combine this data into a series of novel indices that aggregate various measures of government responses. These indices are used to describe variation in government responses, explore whether the government response affects the rate of infection, and identify correlates of more or less intense responses.

**Recommended citation for this paper:** Hale, Thomas, Noam Angrist, Beatriz Kira, Anna Petherick, Toby Phillips, Samuel Webster. "Variation in Government Responses to COVID-19" Version 6.0. *Blavatnik School of Government Working Paper*. May 25, 2020. Available: [www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/covidtracker](http://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/covidtracker)

**Recommended citation for the dataset:** Thomas Hale, Sam Webster, Anna Petherick, Toby Phillips, and Beatriz Kira. (2020). *Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker*. *Blavatnik School of Government*. Available: [www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/covidtracker](http://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/covidtracker)

**Acknowledgements:** We are grateful to the strong support from students and staff at the Blavatnik School of Government and across the University of Oxford for contributing time and energy to data collection and the broader development of Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker. We welcome further feedback on this project as it evolves.

# 1. Introduction

The rapid spread of COVID-19 globally has created a wide range of responses from governments. Common measures include school closings, travel restrictions, bans on public gatherings, emergency investments in healthcare facilities, new forms of social welfare provision, contact tracing and other interventions to contain the spread of the virus, augment health systems, and manage the economic consequences of these actions. However, governments have varied substantially in the measures that they have adopted and how quickly they have adopted them. This variation has created debate as policymakers and publics deliberate over the level of response that should be pursued and how quickly to implement them or roll them back, and as public health experts learn in real time the measures that are more or less effective.

The Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker (OxCGRT) provides a systematic cross-national, cross-temporal measure to understand how government responses have evolved over the full period of the disease's spread. The project tracks governments' policies and interventions across a standardized series of indicators and creates a suite of composites indices to measure the extent of these responses. Data is collected and updated in real time by a team of over one hundred Oxford students, alumni and staff.

This working paper briefly describes the data OxCGRT collects and presents some basic measures of variation across governments. It will be updated regularly as the pandemic and governments' responses evolve, and as the technical specifications of the database evolve. However, for the most current and up-to-date technical documentation, please refer to our GitHub repository.<sup>1</sup>

## 2. Data and measurement

OxCGRT reports publicly available information on 18 indicators (see table 1) of government response.

The indicators are of three types:

- **Ordinal:** These indicators measure policies on a simple scale of severity / intensity. These indicators are reported for each day a policy is in place.
  - Many have a further flag to note if they are “targeted”, applying only to a sub-region of a jurisdiction, or a specific sector; or “general”, applying throughout that jurisdiction or across the economy. (Note, the flag for indicator E1 means something different.)

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker>

- **Numeric:** These indicators measure a specific number, typically the value in USD. These indicators are only reported on the day they are announced.
- **Text:** This is a “free response” indicator that records other information of interest.

All observations also have a “notes” cell that reports sources and comments to justify and substantiate the designation.

Table 1: OxCGRT Indicators

*See appendix for detailed descriptions and coding information.)*

ID	Name	Type	Targeted/ General?
Containment and closure			
C1	School closing	Ordinal	Geographic
C2	Workplace closing	Ordinal	Geographic
C3	Cancel public events	Ordinal	Geographic
C4	Restrictions on gathering size	Ordinal	Geographic
C5	Close public transport	Ordinal	Geographic
C6	Stay at home requirements	Ordinal	Geographic
C7	Restrictions on internal movement	Ordinal	Geographic
C8	Restrictions on international travel	Ordinal	No
Economic response			
E1	income support	Ordinal	Sectoral
E2	debt/contract relief for households	Ordinal	No
E3	fiscal measures	Numeric	No
E4	giving international support	Numeric	No
Health systems			
H1	Public information campaign	Ordinal	Geographic
H2	testing policy	Ordinal	No
H3	contact tracing	Ordinal	No
H4	emergency investment in healthcare	Numeric	No
H5	investment in Covid-19 vaccines	Numeric	No
Miscellaneous			
M1	Other responses	Text	No

Data is collected from publicly available sources such as news articles and government press releases and briefings. These are identified via internet searches by a team of over one hundred Oxford University students and staff. OxCGRT records the original source material so that coding can be checked and substantiated.

All OxCGRT data is available under the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY standard.

OxCGRT has added new indicators and refined old indicators as the pandemic has evolved.<sup>2</sup> Future iterations may include further indicators or more nuanced versions of existing indicators.

Governments' responses to COVID-19 exhibit significant nuance and heterogeneity. Consider, for example, C1, school closing: in some places, all schools have been shut; in other places, universities closed on a different timescale than primary schools; in other places still, schools remain open only for the children of essential workers. Moreover, like any policy intervention, their effect is likely to be highly contingent on local political and social contexts. These issues create substantial measurement difficulties when seeking to compare national responses in a systematic way.

Composite measures – which combine different indicators into a general index – inevitably abstract away from these nuances. This approach brings both strengths and limitations. Helpfully, cross-national measures allow for systematic comparisons across countries. By measuring a range of indicators, they mitigate the possibility that any one indicator may be over- or mis-interpreted. However, composite measures also leave out much important information, and make strong assumptions about what kinds of information “counts.” If the information left out is systematically correlated with the outcomes of interest, or systematically under- or overvalued compared to other indicators, such composite indices may introduce measurement bias.

Broadly, there are three common ways to create a composite index: a simple additive or multiplicative index that aggregates the indicators, potentially weighting some; Principal Component Analysis (PCA), which weights individual indicators by how much additional variation they explain compared to the others; Principal Factor Analysis (PFA), which seeks to measure an underlying unobservable factor by how much it influences the observable indicators.

Each approach has advantages and disadvantages for different research questions. In this paper we rely on simple, additive unweighted indices as the baseline measure because this approach is most transparent and easiest to interpret. PCA and PFA approaches can be used as robustness checks.

---

<sup>2</sup> For a description of these changes, see [this link](#).

### 3. Policy indices of COVID-19 government responses

At the time of writing, OxCGRT has collected information on the level of government responses for over 150 countries. More countries will be added in future iterations.

This information is aggregated into a series of four policy indices, with their composition described in the appendix.

- Overall government response index
- Stringency index
- Containment and health index
- Economic support index


Each index is composed of a series of individual policy response indicators. For each indicator, we create a score by taking the ordinal value and adding an extra half-point if the policy is general rather than targeted, if applicable. We then rescale each of these by their maximum value to create a score between 0 and 100, with a missing value contributing 0.<sup>3</sup> These scores are then averaged to get the composite indices (Figure 1).

Importantly, the indices should not be interpreted as a measure of the appropriateness or effectiveness of a government's response. They do not provide information on how well policies are enforced, nor does it capture demographic or cultural characteristics that may affect the spread of COVID-19. Furthermore, they are not comprehensive measures of policy. They only reflect the indicators measured by the OxCGRT (see Table 1), and thus will miss important aspects of a government response. For instance, the "economic support index" does not include support to firms or businesses, and does not take into account the total fiscal value of economic support. The value and purpose of the indices is instead to allow for efficient and simple cross-national comparisons of government interventions. Any analysis of a specific country should be done on the basis of the underlying policy, not on an index alone.

---

<sup>3</sup> We use a conservative assumption to calculate the indices. Where data for one of the component indicators are missing, they contribute "0" to the Index. An alternative assumption would be to not count missing indicators in the score, essentially assuming they are equal to the mean of the indicators for which we have data for. Our conservative approach therefore "punishes" countries for which less information is available, but also avoids the risk of over-generalizing from limited information.


**Figure 1: Global mean index values for 165 countries over time**



## 4. Variation in government responses

How have governments' responses varied? In general, government responses have become stronger over the course of the outbreak, particularly ramping up over the month of March (see Figure 1). However, variation can be seen across countries (Figure 2). This variation is becoming less pronounced over time as more countries implement comprehensive suites of measures.


**Figure 2: COVID-19 Government Response Index by country, May 27, 2020**





We expect the response measures to broadly track the spread of the disease. However, the rate at which such measures are adopted plays a critical role in stemming the infection. Relying on data primarily collated by the European Centre for Disease Control, Figure 3 compares the rate of confirmed deaths (the black line) since the first reported death to changes in a country's government response index (the red line). Some governments immediately ratchet up measures as an outbreak spreads, while in other countries the increase in the stringency of responses lags the growth in new cases.

**Figure 3: Reported COVID-19 deaths and government response index, selected countries**




Differential responses can also be seen across the entire period. One measure of interest is the Response-Risk Ratio, which compares a government's response to the risk it faces. Risk is difficult to measure, since the number of cases recorded is in part a function of how much testing is carried out, which itself is a measure that will co-vary to some extent with the overall government's response index (being that testing is reflected in indicator H2). The number of deaths is less correlated with testing regime (but still dependent on how each country defines COVID-19 deaths).

Figure 4 presents the Response-Risk Ratio operationalised as the maximum level of government response a country has reached compared to the total number of cases


in that country. Countries above the line can be interpreted as having more stringent measures than the average country (or at least, have enacted measures on a greater number of dimensions to a higher degree), given their number of confirmed cases. Conversely, countries below the line show a lower level of policy action than the average country given their number of confirmed cases. Thus, the closer a country is to the top-left corner of Figure 4, the higher the level of their response in light of the risk it faces, and conversely, the closer a country is to the bottom-right corner, the smaller its response given its risk. Over time, we are observing more countries implement a larger response at a lower case load.

**Figure 4: Response-Risk Ratio**

(a) as at 1 March 2020




(b) as at 27 May 2020



Another way of thinking about this is to consider at which point in a country's infection trajectory they choose to implement more stringent policies. We generally see that countries increase their policy response as their number of confirmed COVID-19 cases rise, however there is significant variation in the rate and timing of this relationship. Figure 5 compares this relationship for the same six countries considered in Figure 3 above.

**Figure 5. Response-Risk change for selected countries**



## 5. Conclusion

As governments continue to respond to COVID-19, it is imperative to study what measures are effective and which are not. While the data presented here do, of course, not measure effectiveness directly, they can be useful input to studies that analyse factors affecting disease progression. OxCGRT seeks to contribute to this knowledge gap by providing comparable measures of individual policy actions, as well as several comparable aggregate indices. We find significant variation in both the measures that governments adopt and when they adopt them. Going forward, governments will benefit from adopting an evidence-based approach to the measures they deploy.

OxCGRT will continue to evolve over the coming months as the pandemic progresses. We envision not only updating the data on a regular basis, but also refining and improving the indicators we record for each country. The most up-to-date technical documentation can always be found on our GitHub repository.<sup>4</sup>

It is our hope that scholars, medical professionals, policymakers, and concerned citizens will make use of the OxCGRT data to enhance all countries' responses to the COVID-19 pandemic. We welcome constructive feedback and collaboration on this project as it evolves.

<sup>4</sup> <https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker>

# Data collection team

Aditya Lolla  
Ahmed Safar  
Alejandrina Cripovich  
Alfredo Ortega  
Alice Eddershaw  
Andrea Garaiova  
Andrea Klaric  
Andrew Wood  
Anjali Viswamohanan  
Annalena Pott  
Anupah Makoond Makoond  
Arkar Hein  
Babu Ahamed  
Barbara Roggeveen  
Beatriz Kira  
Ben Luria  
Benjamin Ignac  
Blessing Oluwatosin Ajimoti  
Camilla Sacchetto  
Carolina Martinelli  
Caroline Weglinski  
Charlotte Rougier  
Chloe Mayoux  
Clara Pavillet  
Connor Lyons  
Dane Alivarius  
Dario Moreira  
Dita Listya  
Eleanor Altamura  
Elisabeth Mira Rothweiler  
Emily Cameron-Blake  
Fatima Zehra Naqvi  
Femi Adebola  
Finn Klebe  
Francesca Lovell-Read  
Francesca Valmorbida McSteen  
Gabriel Podesta  
Grace Mzumara

Guillermo Miranda  
Hakeem Onasanya  
Hala Sheikh Al Souk  
Helen Tatlow  
Huma Zile  
Ifigenia Xifre Villar  
Ilya Zlotnikov  
Ingrid Maria Johansen  
Innocent Mbaguta  
Isabela Blumm  
Jake Lerner  
James Fox  
James Green  
Javier Pardo-Diaz  
Jenna Hand  
Jeroen Frijters  
Jessica Anania  
Joanna Klimczak  
John Miller  
Joseph Ssentongo  
Juan David Gutierrez  
Judy Cossins  
Juhi Kore  
Kaisa Saarinen  
Kangning Zhang  
Karoline Becker  
Katherine Tyson  
Katrina Marina  
Kaushalya Gupta  
Kristie Jameson  
Lana Ahmad  
Laura Chavez-Varela  
Laura Hallas  
Liliana Estrada Galindo  
Lin Shi  
Lione Alushula  
Liu Yang (Victoria)  
Lore Purroy Sanchez

Louisa-Madeline Singer  
Lucia Soriano  
Lucy Goodfellow  
Manikarnika Dutta Dutta  
Marcela Reynoso Jurado  
María de los Ángeles Lasa  
Maria Paz Astigarraga Baez  
Marianne Lafuma  
Martina Lejtregger  
Maurice Kirschbaum  
Melody Leong  
Michael Chen  
Muktai Panchal  
Nadia Nasreddin  
Natalia Espinola  
Negin Shahiar  
Oksana Matiash  
Olga Romanova  
Pamela Gongora  
Paola Del Carpio Ponce  
Paola Schietekat Sedas  
Patricia Silva Castillo  
Pollyana Lima  
Priya Lakshmy Tbalasubramaniam  
Priyanka Bijlani  
Qingling Kong  
Rene' Landers  
Robert Gorwa

Robin Thompson  
Safa Khan  
Salim Salamah  
Serene Singh  
SeungCheol Ohk  
Shabana Basij-Rasikh  
Silvia Shen  
Simpfiwe Stewart  
Siu Cheng  
Sophie Pearlman  
Stefaan Sonck Thiebaut  
Syed Shoaib Hasan Rizvi  
Tamoi Fujii  
Tanyah Hameed  
Tatsuya Yasui  
Tebello Qhotsokoane  
Teruki Takiguchi  
Tetsekela Anyiam-Osigwe  
Tim Nusser  
Tiphaine Le Corre  
Twan van der Togt  
Uttara Narayan  
William Dowling  
William Hart  
Yulia Taranova  
Zoe Lin  
Zunaira Mallick

# Codebook

This coding scheme is tweaked and revised from time-to-time. Please refer to our GitHub repository for the most up-to-date technical documentation:

<https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker/blob/master/documentation/codebook.md>

## Closures and containment

ID	Name	Description	Measurement	Coding instructions
C1	School closing	Record closings of schools and universities	Ordinal scale + binary for geographic scope	0 - No measures 1 - recommend closing 2 - Require closing (only some levels or categories, eg just high school, or just public schools) 3 - Require closing all levels No data - blank  0 - Targeted 1- General No data - blank
C2	Workplace closing	Record closings of workplaces	Ordinal scale + binary for geographic scope	0 - No measures 1 - recommend closing (or work from home) 2 - require closing (or work from home) for some sectors or categories of workers 3 - require closing (or work from home) all-but-essential workplaces (e.g. grocery stores, doctors) No data - blank  0 - Targeted 1- General No data - blank
C3	Cancel public events	Record cancelling public events	Ordinal scale + binary for geographic scope	0- No measures 1 - Recommend cancelling 2 - Require cancelling No data - blank  0 - Targeted 1- General No data - blank

C4	Restrictions on gatherings	Record the cut-off size for bans on private gatherings	Ordinal scale + binary for geographic scope	<p>0 - No restrictions  1 - Restrictions on very large gatherings (the limit is above 1000 people)  2 - Restrictions on gatherings between 101-1000 people  3 - Restrictions on gatherings between 11-100 people  4 - Restrictions on gatherings of 10 people or less  No data - blank</p> <p>0 - Targeted  1 - General  No data - blank</p>
C5	Close public transport	Record closing of public transport	Ordinal scale + binary on geographic scope	<p>0 - No measures  1 - Recommend closing (or significantly reduce volume/route/means of transport available)  2 - Require closing (or prohibit most citizens from using it)</p> <p>0 - Targeted  1- General  No data - blank</p>
C6	Stay at home requirements	Record orders to “shelter-in- place” and otherwise confine to home.	Ordinal scale + binary on geographic scope	<p>0 - No measures  1 - recommend not leaving house  2 - require not leaving house with exceptions for daily exercise, grocery shopping, and ‘essential’ trips  3 - Require not leaving house with minimal exceptions (e.g. allowed to leave only once a week, or only one person can leave at a time, etc.)  No data - blank</p> <p>0 - Targeted  1- General  No data – blank</p>
C7	Restrictions on internal movement	Record restrictions on internal movement	Ordinal scale + binary on geographic scope	<p>0 - No measures  1 - Recommend not to travel between regions/cities  2 – internal movement restrictions in place</p> <p>0 - Targeted  1- General  No data - blank</p>

C8	International travel controls	Record restrictions on international travel	Ordinal scale	0 - No measures 1 - Screening 2 - Quarantine arrivals from high-risk regions 3 - Ban on arrivals from some regions 4 – Ban on all regions or total border closure No data - blank
----	-------------------------------	---	---------------	--

Economic measures

ID	Name	Description		Coding instructions
E1	Income support	Record if the government is covering the salaries or providing direct cash payments, universal basic income, or similar, of people who lose their jobs or cannot work. (Includes payments to firms if explicitly linked to payroll/ salaries)	Ordinal scale + binary scale for <u>sectoral</u> scope	0 - no income support 1 - government is replacing less than 50% of lost salary (or if a flat sum, it is less than 50% median salary) 2 - government is replacing 50% or more of lost salary (or if a flat sum, it is greater than 50% median salary)  No data - blank  0 - formal sector workers only 1 - transfers to informal sector workers too No data - blank
E2	Debt / contract relief for households	Record if govt. is freezing financial obligations (e.g. stopping loan repayments, preventing services like water from stopping, or banning evictions)		0 - No 1 - Narrow relief, specific to one kind of contract 2 - broad debt/contract relief
E3	Fiscal measures	What economic stimulus policies are adopted?	USD	Record monetary value USD of fiscal stimuli, including spending or tax cuts NOT included in E4, H4, or H5 (see below)  -If none, enter 0  No data - blank  Please use the exchange rate of the date you are



				coding, not the current date. Exchange rate info <a href="#">here</a> .
E4	Providing support to other countries	Announced offers of COVID-19 related aid spending to other countries	USD	Record monetary value announced if additional to previously announced spending  -if none, enter 0  No data - blank  Please use the exchange rate of the date you are coding, not the current date. Exchange rate info <a href="#">here</a> .

Health measures

ID	Name	Description	Measurement	Coding instructions
H1	Public info campaigns	Record presence of public info campaigns	Binary + binary on geographic scope	0 -No COVID-19 public information campaign 1 - public officials urging caution about COVID-19 2 - coordinated public information campaign (e.g. across traditional and social media) No data - blank  0 - Targeted 1- General No data - blank
H2	Testing policy	Who can get tested?	Ordinal scale	0 – No testing policy 1 – Only those who both (a) have symptoms AND (b) meet specific criteria (e.g. key workers, admitted to hospital, came into contact with a known case, returned from overseas) 2 – testing of anyone showing COVID-19 symptoms 3 – open public testing (e.g. “drive through” testing available to asymptomatic people) No data  N.B. we are looking for policies about testing for having an infection (PCR tests) - not for

				policies about testing for immunity (antibody tests).
H3	Contact tracing	Are governments doing contact tracing?	Ordinal scale	0 - No contact tracing 1 - Limited contact tracing - not done for all cases 2 - Comprehensive contact tracing - done for all identified cases No data
H4	Emergency investment in health care	Short-term spending on, e.g., hospitals, masks, etc	USD	-Record monetary value in USD of new short-term spending on health  -If none, enter 0  No data - blank  Please use the exchange rate of the date you are coding, not the current date. Exchange rate info <a href="#">here</a> .
H5	Investment in vaccines	Announced public spending on vaccine development	USD	Record monetary value announced if additional to previously announced spending  -If none, enter 0  No data - blank  Please use the exchange rate of the date you are coding, not the current date. Exchange rate info <a href="#">here</a> .

Miscellaneous

ID	Name	Description	Measurement	Coding instructions
M1	Misc. wild card	Record policy announcements that do not fit anywhere else	Free text	Note unusual or interesting interventions that you think are worth flagging. Include relevant documentation.

# Calculation of policy indices

The composition and calculation of our indices is updated from time-to-time. Please refer to our GitHub repository for the most up-to-date technical documentation: [https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker/blob/master/documentation/index\\_methodology.md](https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker/blob/master/documentation/index_methodology.md)

## Policy indices

All of our indices are simple averages of the individual component indicators. This is described in equation 1 below where  $k$  is the number of component indicators in an index and  $I_j$  is the sub-index score for an individual indicator.

$$(1) \quad index = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k I_j$$

The different indices are comprised as follows:

Index	$k$	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	E1	E2	E3	E4	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	M1
Government response index	13	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x	x			
Containment and health index	11	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x					x	x	x			
Stringency index	9	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x					x					
Economic support index	2									x	x								
Legacy stringency index (see end of doc)	7	x	x	>	?	x	?	?	x					x					

Two versions of each indicator are present in the database. A regular version which will return null values if there is not enough data to calculate the index, and a "display" version which will extrapolate to smooth over the last seven days of the index based on the most recent complete data. This is explained below.

### Calculating sub-index scores for each indicator

All of the indices use ordinal indicators where policies are ranked on a simple numerical scale. The project also records five non-ordinal indicators – E3, E4, H4, H5 and M1 – but these are not used in our index calculations.

Some indicators – C1-C7, E1 and H1 – have an additional binary flag variable that can be either 0 or 1. For C1-C7 and H1 this corresponds to the geographic scope of the policy. For E1, this flag variable corresponds to the sectoral scope of income support.

The codebook has details about each indicator and what the different values represent.

Because different indicators ( $j$ ) have different maximum values ( $N_j$ ) in their ordinal scales, and only some have flag variables, each sub-index score must be calculated separately. The different indicators are:

Indicator	Max value ( $N_j$ )	Flag? ( $F_j$ )
C1	3 (0, 1, 2, 3)	Yes=1
C2	3 (0, 1, 2, 3)	Yes=1
C3	2 (0, 1, 2)	Yes=1
C4	4 (0, 1, 2, 3, 4)	Yes=1
C5	2 (0, 1, 2)	Yes=1
C6	3 (0, 1, 2, 3)	Yes=1
C7	2 (0, 1, 2)	Yes=1
C8	4 (0, 1, 2, 3, 4)	No=0
E1	2 (0, 1, 2)	Yes=1
E2	2 (0, 1, 2)	No=0
H1	2 (0, 1, 2)	Yes=1
H2	3 (0, 1, 2, 3)	No=0
H3	2 (0, 1, 2)	No=0

Each sub-index score ( $I$ ) for any given indicator ( $j$ ) on any given day ( $t$ ), is calculated by the function described in equation 2 based on the following parameters:

- the maximum value of the indicator ( $N_j$ )
- whether that indicator has a flag ( $F_j=1$  if the indicator has a flag variable, or 0 if the indicator does not have a flag variable)
- the recorded policy value on the ordinal scale ( $v_{j,t}$ )
- the recorded binary flag for that indicator, if that indicator has a flag ( $f_{j,t}$ )

This normalises the different ordinal scales to produce a sub-index score between 0 and 100 where each full point on the ordinal scale is equally spaced. For indicators that do have a flag variable, if this flag is recorded as 0 (i.e. if the policy is geographically targeted or for E1 if the support only applies to informal sector workers) then this is treated as a half-step between ordinal values.

Note that the database only contains flag values if the indicator has a non-zero value. If a government has no policy for a given indicator (i.e. the indicator equals zero) then the corresponding flag is blank/null in the database. For the purposes of calculating the index, this is equivalent to a sub-index score of zero. In other words,  $I_{j,t}=0$  if  $v_{j,t}=0$ .

$$(2) \quad I_{j,t} = 100 \frac{v_{j,t} - 0.5(F_j - f_{j,t})}{N_j}$$

Here is an explicit example of the calculation for a given country on a single day:

Indicator	$v_{j,t}$	$f_{j,t}$	$N_j$	$F_j$	$l_{j,t}$
C1	2	1	3	yes=1	66.67
C2	no data	no data	3	yes=1	0.00
C3	2	0	2	yes=1	75.00
C4	2	0	4	yes=1	37.50
C5	0	null	2	yes=1	0.00
C6	1	0	3	yes=1	16.67
C7	1	1	2	yes=1	50.00
C8	3	N/A	4	no=0	75.00
E1	2	0	2	yes=1	75.00
E2	2	N/A	2	no=0	100.00
H1	2	0	2	yes=1	75.00
H2	3	N/A	3	no=0	100.00
H3	2	N/A	2	no=0	100.00

	Index	
	Government response	59.29
	Containment and health	54.17
	Stringency	43.98
	Economic support	87.50

#### Dealing with gaps in the data for display purposes

Because data are updated on twice-weekly cycles, but not every country is updated in every cycle, recent dates may be prone to missing data. If fewer than  $k-1$  indicators are present for an index on any given day, the index calculation is rejected and no value is returned. For the economic support indicator, where  $k=2$ , the index calculation is rejected if either of the two indicators are missing.

To increase consistency of recent data points which are perhaps mid contribution, index values pertaining to the past seven days are rejected if they have fewer policy indicators than another day in the past seven days, i.e. if there is another recent data point with all  $k$  indicators included, then no index will be calculated for dates with  $k-1$ .

Further, we produce two versions of each index. One with the raw calculated index values, plus we produce a "display" version which will "smooth" over gaps in the last seven days, populating each date with the last available "good" data point.

For example, the date at the time of writing was 22 May. The table below gives an example of which index calculations would be rejected based on the number of policy indicators with data on each date. In this table, we will consider the overall government response index where  $k=13$ .

Date	No. of valid indicators	No. of indicators in index ( $k$ )	Raw index	"Display" index
10/05/2020	11	13	null	null
11/05/2020	12	13	60	60
12/05/2020	10	13	null	null
13/05/2020	13	13	65	65
14/05/2020	10	13	null	null
15/05/2020	10	13	null	null
16/05/2020	10	13	null	65
17/05/2020	13	13	70	70
18/05/2020	13	13	75	75
19/05/2020	12	13	null	75
20/05/2020	12	13	null	75
21/05/2020	6	13	null	75
22/05/2020 (today)	4	13	null	75

#### Legacy stringency index

We also report a legacy stringency index that approximates the logic of the first version of the Stringency Index, which only had seven components under our old database structure with the old indicators S1-S7. We generally do not recommend using this legacy index, but it may be useful for continuity purposes.

The legacy indicator only uses seven indicators, and it chooses a single indicator between C3 and C4, and between C6 and C7, selecting whichever of those pairs provides a higher sub-index score. This is because C3 and C4 aim to measure the information previously measured by S3, and similarly for C6, C7 and the old S6. This method, shown in equation 3, faithfully recreates the logic of the old stringency index.

$$(3) \quad SI_{legacy} = \frac{1}{7} (I_{C1} + I_{C2} + \max(I_{C3}, I_{C4}) + I_{C5} + \max(I_{C6}, I_{C7}) + I_{C8} + I_{H1})$$

The individual sub-index scores for the legacy index are calculated through a slightly different formula to the one described in equation 2 above. This formula is described in equation 4 below (with a separate formula for C8, the only indicator in this index without a flagged variable).

$$(4) \quad I_{j,t} = 100 \left( \frac{v_{j,t} + f_{j,t}}{N_j + 1} \right) \quad | \quad I_{C8,t} = 100 \left( \frac{v_{C8,t}}{N_{C8}} \right)$$